







Why carbon nanostructures? nanoporous with appropriate pore size, high surface area and light Can store hydrogen by physisorption that allows fast loading and unloading doping CNTs with lithium atoms can considerably increase their hydrogen storage capacity 5







Methods used to design and investigate the stability of this material



***** Turbomole package to perform theoretical ab initio calculations



Creation of one cyllindrical hole on the graphene sheet



The diameter of the gap is chosen carefully to fit the selected CNT.

Figure 2. (a) (6,6) CNT and graphene sheet ready to form a cluster. This is the initial structure which was optimized using DFT.

Second step:

Calculation of bond surplus for polygons on the surface of a closed polyhedron using generalised Eular's rule

Bond surplus is the excess in the number of polygonal sites compared with normal value for the junction.



Eular's rule:

F- the number of faces, *V*-vertices, *E*- edges and *G*-genus.

Third step:

Optimization of the final structure (Fig. 2b) using the resolution of identity (RI) approximation



Fig. 2 (b) Optimized cluster of (6,6) CNT and graphene sheet; the beginning of the 3-D network nanostructure.

Fourth step:

Construction of an extensive periodic network on the basis of the ab initio optimized building block (Fig. 1)



Optimized building block



The 3-D network nanostructure

Stage B:

Investigation of hydrogen storage capacity of the structure

Step 1:

Assessment of the H₂ interaction with the material using first-principle quantum chemical calculation

New study of the energetics and interactions close to the junction

Step 2:

Evaluation of the storage capacity by grand canonical Monte Carlo (GCMC) simulations for a variety of thermodynamic states at ambient and cryogenic temperatures

Correction of the calculated value of the binding energy considering basis set superposition error (BSSE) using the counterpoise (CP) method

* Different combinations onanotube curvature, intertube and graphene sheet interlayer distances were tested, in an effort to achieve the best hydrogen uptake.





Figure 5. Snapshots from the GCMC simulations of (a) pure pillared structure and (b) Li doped pillared structure at 77 K and 3 bar. Hydrogen molecules are represented in green, while lithium atoms are in purple.

Results are for system having intertube distance of 1.5 *nm and graphene sheets with 1.2 nm interlayer distance*



Figure 3: Gravimetric hydrogen uptake (a) 77 K and (b) 300 K.

17





Figure 4: Volumetric hydrogen uptake (a) 77 K and (b) 300 K.

18

Binding energy of H₂ at the junction

System	Binding energy of H ₂ (kcal/mole)			Inference
-	Above the hexagon		Above the heptagon	-
	Site A	Site B	Site C	
Pillared graphene	0.25	0.25	0.33	Interaction of H ₂ with hepatgonal rings are more favourable than the hexagonal ones
Li doped pillared graphene	3.4	3.5	3.6	Enhancement on the bonding of H_2 when a Li cation is present

The total storage capacity of pillared graphene was not satisfactory

Significant increase in both volumetric and gravimetric hydrogen uptake with the Li doped structure compared with the undoped case

Results

Enhancement of , for pressures below 10 bar, the gravimetric adsorption by 76% at ambient temperature and by 88% at cryogenic; the enhancement on the volumetric adsorption is 30% and 38%, respectively.

Rapid attainment of the saturation pressure for both temperature conditions; an extra benefit since low pressure is an important safety issue in mobile applications Combination of CNTs and graphene sheets is possible to form novel 3-D nanostructures capable of enhancing hydrogen storage

The material, when doped with lithium cations, can Reach D.O.E's volumetric target for mobile applications, under ambient conditions.

Conclusions

Even on the junction of this material, hydrogen's interaction remains weak, comparable with already known hydrogen-carbon interaction values

Experimentalists are challenged to fabricate this material and validate its storage capacity