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Experimental Section

Apparatus. All the experiments were performed in a UHV chamber with a base pressure ~5×10^{-10} mbar, described in detail elsewhere.\(^1\) Briefly, the vacuum chamber is equipped with reflection absorption infrared spectroscopy (RAIRS), temperature-programmed desorption mass spectrometry (TPD-MS), secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS), low energy ion scattering (LEIS) mass spectrometry, and a UV lamp.\(^2\) The vacuum of the chamber was maintained by three oil-free turbomolecular pumps backed by several diaphragm pumps. A Ru(0001) single crystal surface (1.5 cm diameter and 1 mm thick) was used as the substrate to grow thin ice films. The substrate is mounted on a copper holder and connected at the tip of a closed-cycle helium cryostat. The substrate is fitted with a resistive heater (25 Ω) and can be maintained at any temperature between 8 and 1000 K. The temperature of the substrate is measured with a K-type thermocouple and a platinum sensor with a temperature accuracy/uncertainty of 0.5 K.

Materials and Reagents. As received acetaldehyde (anhydrous, ≥99.5%, Sigma-Aldrich), D\(_2\)O (99.9%, Sigma-Aldrich), and Millipore water (H\(_2\)O of 18.2 M Ω resistivity) were taken in a vacuum-sealed test tube and further purified in several freeze-pump-thaw cycles.

Sample Preparation. Thin films of ice were created by vapor deposition on precooled Ru(0001) substrate at 10 K. During vapor deposition, the purity of H\(_2\)O, D\(_2\)O, and acetaldehyde was further confirmed by the presence of their distinctive molecular ion peaks in the mass spectrum using a residual gas analyzer. The molecular deposition was controlled through two high-precision all-metal leak valves attached to the UHV chamber. Out of two inlet lines, one was used exclusively for H\(_2\)O/D\(_2\)O, and the other was used exclusively for acetaldehyde. The vapor deposition coverage was expressed in monolayers (ML), assuming 1.33 × 10^{-6} mbar s = 1 ML, which was estimated to contain ~1.1 × 10^{15} molecules cm\(^{-2}\), as adopted in other reports.\(^3\),\(^4\)
**Experimental Procedure.** Before each experiment, the Ru(0001) substrate was heated to 400 K multiple times to ensure the cleanness of the surface, adequate for the present experiments. Thin films of 300 ML of the acetaldehyde-H$_2$O mixture were created at 10 K by backfilling the vacuum chamber at a total pressure of $\sim 5 \times 10^{-7}$ mbar for 10 minutes. Different ratios of acetaldehyde and H$_2$O/D$_2$O (1:2, 1:10, 1:20, and 1:40) were prepared at 10 K by keeping the total pressure constant and varying the inlet pressure of acetaldehyde and water accordingly. For instance, for co-deposition of acetaldehyde and H$_2$O (hereafter referred as acetaldehyde-H$_2$O) at 1:2 ratio, the inlet pressure of acetaldehyde was kept at $\sim 2.5 \times 10^{-7}$ mbar, and that of H$_2$O was at $\sim 2.5 \times 10^{-7}$ mbar, considering ion-gauge sensitivity factors for water and acetaldehyde as $\sim 1.0$ and $\sim 2.0$, respectively. For sequential deposition of 300 ML of acetaldehyde and H$_2$O (hereafter referred to as acetaldehyde@H$_2$O), 150 ML of acetaldehyde was deposited first on the Ru(0001) substrate, followed by 150 ML of H$_2$O over it. For ‘selective placement method’ experiment, we have prepared three different composite films of 250 ML thickness with acetaldehyde, H$_2$O and HDO, namely; (1) 200 ML acetaldehyde-H$_2$O (1:10)@50 ML HDO, (2) 100 ML acetaldehyde-H$_2$O (1:10)@50 ML HDO@100 ML acetaldehyde-H$_2$O (1:10), and (3) 50 ML HDO@200 ML acetaldehyde-H$_2$O (1:10). The acetaldehyde-H$_2$O mixtures are of 1:10 composition. In these samples, the HDO film was prepared by exposing the vapor of a liquid mixture containing 5% D$_2$O and 95% H$_2$O (which is known to produce 10% HDO and 90% H$_2$O with a tiny fraction of D$_2$O) on the substrate. The first composite film, 200 ML acetaldehyde-H$_2$O (1:10)@50 ML HDO was prepared by first co-depositing 200 ML of acetaldehyde and H$_2$O in 1:10 ratio on Ru(0001) at 10 K, followed by the deposition of 50 ML of HDO film over it. In a similar manner, the other two samples were also prepared. For temperature and time-dependent experiments, the as-prepared thin films at 10 K were annealed at a rate of 2 K·min$^{-1}$ to the set temperatures. After maintaining the ice samples at a particular temperature, they were examined by RAIRS and TPD-MS.
**RAIRS and TPD-MS Setup.** RAIRS and TPD-MS were utilized to monitor the thermal processing of vapor-deposited ice samples as adopted in our previous studies. RAIRS data were collected in the 4000-550 cm\(^{-1}\) range with a spectral resolution of 2 cm\(^{-1}\) using a Bruker FT-IR spectrometer, Vertex 70. The IR beam was focused on the ice sample at an incident angle of 80° ± 7° through a ZnSe viewport. The reflected IR beam from the sample was re-focused to a liquid N\(_2\)-cooled mercury cadmium telluride (MCT) detector. The IR beam outside the vacuum chamber was purged with dry N\(_2\) to avoid absorption by atmospheric carbon dioxide. Each RAIR spectrum was averaged over 512 scans to ensure a better signal-to-noise ratio. TPD mass spectra were acquired using an Extrel quadrupole mass spectrometer in an out-of-sight configuration. Thermal desorption of acetaldehyde and water were recorded by monitoring m/z = 29 and 18 peaks, respectively.
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**Figure S1.** (A) Temperature-dependent RAIR spectra of 75 ML of pure acetaldehyde in the C=O stretching region. Acetaldehyde film was prepared at 10 K and annealed at a rate of 2 K min\(^{-1}\) resulting in complete desorption of acetaldehyde film from the substrate. The broad peak at 1729 cm\(^{-1}\) in the C=O stretching region at 10 K was assigned to the amorphous acetaldehyde film. This peak did not change during annealing of sample up to 80 K. However, at 90 K, due to the crystallization of the acetaldehyde film, the peak at 1729 cm\(^{-1}\) was split into two, at 1729 and 1719 cm\(^{-1}\). (B) Time-dependent RAIR spectra of 75 ML of pure acetaldehyde film at 110 K in the C=O stretching region. During isothermal annealing, acetaldehyde desorbed from the substrate within 90 min without any significant spectral change. Thus, once the two-peak structure is formed, it does not change with time.
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**Figure S2.** (A) Time-dependent RAIR spectra of 300 ML of acetaldehyde-H\(_2\)O (1:2) film at 115 K in the C=O stretching region. The ice mixture was co-deposited on Ru(0001) substrate at 10 K and annealed at a rate of 2 K.min\(^{-1}\) to 115 K. At 115 K with time, the peak at 1729 cm\(^{-1}\) (attributed to phase-separated acetaldehyde) is decreasing due to the desorption of phase-separated acetaldehyde. However, the peak at 1734 cm\(^{-1}\) (attributed to acetaldehyde CH) remained due to the trapping of acetaldehyde in CH cages. At 115 K, between 1 to 27 h, we did not observe much change in the intensity of the peak at 1734 cm\(^{-1}\), which confirms the stability of acetaldehyde CH under UHV. (B) TPD spectrum of 150 ML of pure acetaldehyde. The ramping rate applied for this experiment was 30 K.min\(^{-1}\). Here, the intensity of HCO\(^+\) (m/z = 29) is plotted as a function of substrate temperature.
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![Graph showing IR spectra with absorbance on the y-axis and wavenumber (cm⁻¹) on the x-axis. The graph includes a green peak labeled 'Ice I_c' at T = 135 K.]

**Figure S3.** Comparison of O-H stretching bands of crystalline H₂O film obtained after acetone CH dissociation (blue trace) and the resultant ice system left after the dissociation of acetaldehyde CH at 135 K (green trace). Both these experiments were carried out separately. Here, the similarity of the O-H stretching bands of these two systems suggests that the dissociation of acetaldehyde CH produces ice I_c. Notably, the formation of ice I_c via acetone CH was studied by RAIRS and confirmed by reflection high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED).
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![Graph showing time-dependent RAIR spectra of 150 ML of solid H₂O film at (A) 130 K and (B) 135 K in the O-H stretching region. The graph includes absorbance on the y-axis and wavenumber (cm⁻¹) on the x-axis.]

**Figure S4.** Time-dependent RAIR spectra of 150 ML of solid H₂O film at (a) 130 K, and (b) 135 K in the O-H stretching region. The water vapor was deposited at 10 K on Ru(0001) substrate. The ice films were annealed at a rate of 2 K.min⁻¹ to the set temperatures.
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Figure S5. Isothermal RAIR spectra at 135 K in the O-D stretching region of 250 ML of composite ice film containing 200 ML acetaldehyde-H$_2$O (1:10) film with 50 ML HDO (5% D$_2$O in H$_2$O) layer placed at various positions, (A) in the middle of 200 ML acetaldehyde-H$_2$O film, and (B) at the bottom of 200 ML acetaldehyde-H$_2$O film. The vertical cut at 2425 cm$^{-1}$ was used to calculate the crystallization fraction of the probe HDO layer.
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Figure S6. Isothermal RAIR spectra at 135 K in the C=O stretching region of 250 ML of composite ice film containing 200 ML acetaldehyde-H$_2$O (1:10) film with 50 ML HDO (5% D$_2$O in H$_2$O) layer placed at the top of 200 ML acetaldehyde-H$_2$O film. The peak at 1734 cm$^{-1}$ (attributed to acetaldehyde CH) in the C=O stretching region is decreasing from 0 min itself due to the dissociation of acetaldehyde CH.
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Figure S7. (A) Temperature-dependent RAIR spectra of 300 ML of acetaldehyde-D\textsubscript{2}O (1:2) film in the (A) C=O stretching and (B) O-D stretching regions. The ice mixture was co-deposited on Ru(0001) substrate at 10 K and annealed at a rate of 2 K.min\textsuperscript{-1} to the set temperatures. Acetaldehyde forms CH with D\textsubscript{2}O during the thermal annealing of the ice mixture. However, upon its dissociation above 140 K, it converts to D\textsubscript{2}O ice I\textsubscript{c}.
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Figure S8. Temperature-dependent RAIR spectra of 225 ML of acetaldehyde@H\textsubscript{2}O (75@150 ML) film in the (A) C=O stretching and (B) O-H stretching regions. The ice sample was prepared by first depositing 75 ML of acetaldehyde on Ru(0001) substrate at 10 K, followed by 150 ML of H\textsubscript{2}O over it. The prepared ice sample at 10 K was annealed at a rate of 2 K.min\textsuperscript{-1} to the set temperatures. Above the desorption temperature of acetaldehyde (>110 K), most of the acetaldehyde diffuses and desorbs through the water matrix, while a small fraction of acetaldehyde is trapped in hydrate cages (confirmed by the appearance of 1734 cm\textsuperscript{-1} peak). However, upon acetaldehyde CH dissociation above 140 K, it converts to ice I\textsubscript{c}.
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**Figure S9.** Time-dependent RAIR spectra of 300 ML of acetaldehyde-H$_2$O (1:20) at 135 K in the (A) C=O stretching and (B) O-H stretching regions. The ice mixture was co-deposited on Ru(0001) substrate at 10 K and annealed at a rate of 2 K.min$^{-1}$ to the set temperatures. At 135 K (0 min), three peaks at 1734, 1723, and 1717 cm$^{-1}$ were observed. The peak at 1734 cm$^{-1}$ was assigned to acetaldehyde CH, whereas the peaks at 1723 and 1717 cm$^{-1}$ were due to a dilute mixture of acetaldehyde in the water matrix. However, upon acetaldehyde CH dissociation during the isothermal annealing of the ice mixture at 135 K for 60 min, it converts to ice I$\text{c}$.
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**Figure S10.** Time-dependent RAIR spectra of 300 ML of acetaldehyde-H$_2$O (1:40) at 135 K in the (A) C=O stretching and (B) O-H stretching regions. The ice mixture was co-deposited on Ru(0001) substrate at 10 K and annealed at a rate of 2 K.min$^{-1}$ to the set temperatures. At 135 K (0 min), three peaks at 1734, 1723, and 1717 cm$^{-1}$ were observed. The peak at 1734 cm$^{-1}$ was assigned to acetaldehyde CH, whereas the peaks at 1723 and 1717 cm$^{-1}$ were due to a dilute mixture of acetaldehyde in the water matrix. However, upon acetaldehyde CH dissociation during the isothermal annealing of the ice mixture at 135 K for 120 min, it converts to ice I$\text{c}$.
Crystallization kinetics

The crystallization kinetics of ice I\textsubscript{c} formation was determined using isothermal time-dependent RAIR spectra of 300 ML of acetaldehyde-H\textsubscript{2}O (1:10) films, as shown in Figure S11. Here, by monitoring the evolution in O-H stretching band at the corresponding temperatures, 130, 132, 135, and 137 K, the associated crystallization kinetics were evaluated.\textsuperscript{6-13} Figure S12 shows the isothermal time-dependent RAIR spectra of 300 ML of acetaldehyde-H\textsubscript{2}O (1:10) films in the O-H stretching region at (A) 130 K, (B) 132 K, (C) 135 K, and (D) 137 K. The ice samples were prepared by co-deposition on Ru(0001) substrate at 10 K and annealed at a rate of 2 K.min\textsuperscript{-1} to the set temperatures. In each case, the first spectrum is due to the amorphous ice, and the last spectrum is due to crystalline ice, while the intermediate spectra are a linear combination of amorphous and crystalline spectra. Crystallization fractions were calculated from a vertical cut at 3400 cm\textsuperscript{-1} in the O-H stretching band, as shown in Figures A, B, C, and D.

![Figure S11](image-url)
Figure S11. Crystallization fraction versus time curves for 300 ML of acetaldehyde-H$_2$O (1:10) film obtained from isothermal RAIR measurements at 130, 132, 135, and 137 K as shown in Figure S11. The crystallization fraction was calculated from a vertical cut at 3400 cm$^{-1}$ in the O-H stretching band, as shown in Figure S11.

increases in intensity. All the spectra shown in Figure S11 have isosbestic point which suggests that the intermediate spectra are a linear combination of amorphous and crystalline spectra. Therefore, the fraction crystallized with time can be calculated from a vertical cut at 3400 cm$^{-1}$ in the O-H stretching band (shown by the dashed vertical line). Eq. (1) was used to convert the absorbance data to crystallization fraction, $x(t)$

$$x(t) = \frac{\Delta A(1)}{\Delta A(2)}$$  

where $\Delta A(1)$ is the difference in the absorbance at a particular time $t$ and that at time zero ($t = 0$), and $\Delta A(2)$ is the difference in absorbance of a completely crystallized film (when there is no spectral change with time) and that of a completely amorphous film (at $t = 0$) at the given temperature.

Figure S12 shows the crystallization fraction versus time curves obtained from the isothermal RAIRS measurements shown in Figure S11. The change of the curve shape from sigmoidal (at a lower $T$, 130 K) to exponential (at a higher $T$, 137 K) suggests that the crystallization kinetics increases with increasing temperature. Further, the Kinetics parameters shown in Table 1 in the main paper are estimated by fitting the crystallization fraction, $x(t)$, to the Avrami equation. The Avrami equation is given by,

$$x(t) = 1 - \exp(-k.t)^n$$  

Figure S13. Crystallization fraction versus time curves for 300 ML of acetaldehyde-H$_2$O (1:10) film obtained from isothermal RAIR measurements at 130, 132, 135, and 137 K as shown in Figure S11. The crystallization fraction was calculated from a vertical cut at 3400 cm$^{-1}$ in the O-H stretching band, as shown in Figure S11.
where $k$ is the rate constant, $t$ is time, and $n$ is Avrami exponent. For all temperatures, $n$ can hold integer values between 1 to 4 and predicts the nature of the crystallization process.\textsuperscript{17–19}

Equation 2 can be rearranged in a linear form.

$$\ln(-\ln[1 - x(t)]) = n \ln(t) + n \ln k$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Figure S13A shows a plot between $\ln(-\ln[1 - x(t)])$ versus $\ln(t)$ for different temperatures and was evaluated using eqn (3). The kinetics parameters, $k$ and $n$ for different temperatures were obtained from the linearly fitted lines and listed in Table 1. The obtained values of $k$ suggest that with an increase in temperature, the rate of ice $I_c$ formation increases. Also, the estimated values of $n$ (2.64–1.89) shown in Table 1 reflect that the crystallization kinetics is diffusion-controlled with particles growing into a predominantly spherical geometry as the theory of phase transformation by nucleation and growth would suggest.\textsuperscript{17–19} Finally, the activation energy ($E_a$) of ice crystallization was estimated from the analysis of the slope and intercept of the linearly fitted lines of Figure S13A. Figure S13B shows an Arrhenius plot of $\ln k$ versus $(1/T)$. The activation energy ($E_a$) of ice $I_c$ crystallization was estimated to be 56.6 kJ.mol\(^{-1}\) and is comparable to previously reported\textsuperscript{6,8,20–22} activation energy values (60-77 kJ mol\(^{-1}\)) for the crystallization of pure ASW.
References


(10) Ghosh, J.; Vishwakarma, G.; Das, S.; Pradeep, T. Facile Crystallization of Ice $I_h$ via


(22) Yuan, C.; Smith, R. S.; Kay, B. D. Communication: Distinguishing between Bulk and Interface-Enhanced Crystallization in Nanoscale Films of Amorphous Solid Water. *J.