

Desalination and Nanofiltration through Functionalized Laminar MoS₂ Membranes

Wisit Hirunpinyopas,^{†,‡,§} Eric Prestat,[‡] Stephen D. Worrall,^{§,||} Sarah J. Haigh,[‡] Robert A. W. Dryfe,^{*,†,§} and Mark A. Bissett^{*,†,‡}

[†]National Graphene Institute, [‡]School of Materials, and [§]School of Chemistry, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom

Swathy JR

21.10.2017

MEMBRANE TECHNOLOGY - FAVOURED OVER DISINFECTION OR DISTILLATION



2D MATERIALS

- Layered structure of Nano capillary channels.
- Tuneable : solute rejection and solvent transport properties by preparation methods / surface functionality
- Much higher water flux than those of polymeric membranes,
- ✓ Demonstrating **ionic selectivity**.



THESE MOS2 MEMBRANES EXHIBIT

- Excellent ionic sieving (e.g., Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, and Mg²⁺)
- High water permeation rates.
- Impart desired ionic sieving by chemical functionalization
- Long-term stability with no change in the ionic rejection (6 months
- Tolerant to **solvent exposure** (of varying polarity no effect on the interlayer spacing)
- Suitable for a variety of **non-aqueous filtration** applications.
- Highly stable with **varying pH**.

IN THIS PAPER

Positives of Laminar MoS₂ membranes

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Filtered through polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) supporting membranes (100 nm pores).

Filtration of solvent stabilized dispersions of exfoliated MoS₂ flakes

Thicknesses in several (1-5) layer range and lateral dimensions of 200-300 nm

Membranes were functionalized by immersion in different dye solutions for extended periods (~21 days), in order to tune their permeation properties



Producing Laminar MoS₂ Membranes

Various concentrations of dye solutions were prepared and added to MoS_2 dispersions. These solutions were then bath sonicated for 5 minutes to obtain a homogeneous dispersion. Crystal Violet : (tris(4(dimethylamino)phenyl)methylium chloride) Sunset Yellow: (disodium 6-hydroxy-5-(4sulfophenyl) azo]-2naphthalenesulfonate) Neutral Red: (3-amino-7-dimethylamino-2methylphenazine)



(a) Photograph of the MoS₂ membrane supported by a polymer (PVDF) demonstrating their flexibility.

(b) Cross-sectional SEM image of the formed MoS_2 membrane supported on the PVDF showing the stacked layered nature of the MoS_2 . Membranes were produced with thicknesses varying from 1 to 10 μ m.

(c) Low-angle annular dark-field (LAADF) STEM image showing the cross-section of a laminate MoS_2 membrane. The thickness of individual flakes is ~1-10 layers, with the majority being few-layers (3-5).

(d) PXRD pattern of the (002) peak position for each of the functionalized membranes, pristine exfoliated MoS_2 , and the bulk starting material.

Distribution in flake size - ultra sonication process reduces the size from several microns to a few hundred nm

<u>Figure 2, S5, S6</u>

Cross-sectional STEM imaging of a functionalized membrane showing the distribution and orientation of MoS₂ flakes in the membranes.

MoS_2/CV membrane



different directions

5 layers (mostly 3-5)

Elemental maps

Мо

(a) Overview HAADF-STEM image of the membrane, the scale bar in (a) is 500 nm. (b-d) HAADF image, Mo and S EELS maps (e) high-resolution HAADF-STEM image of the membrane.

The scale bars in b-e are 50 nm.

Elemental maps

- Very high surface area
- Large amount of exposed edges
- Large number of nanocapillaries



d

<u>Figure 3</u>

Bespoke H-beaker setup



(a) Schematic of the experimental setup used for the ionic permeation experiments.





MoS₂ based PVDF

MoS₂ sandwich membrane



(b) Schematic showing the diffusion, driven by the large concentration gradient, of the solute (Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions) through the MoS_2 laminate membrane pre-functionalized by organic dye.

Membranes sandwiched between two polyethylene terephthalate (PET) sheets - to control exposed membrane area and reproducibility

Water Permeation Rates

OSMOTIC PRESSURE METHOD

(sucrose solution (1 M) vs distilled water)

MoS₂/CV membrane

3um thick6 um thick40X10⁻³ Lm⁻²h⁻¹bar⁻¹11.6X10⁻³ Lm⁻²h⁻¹bar⁻¹

GO membrane 1um thick: ~8X10⁻³ Lm⁻²h⁻¹bar⁻¹

EXTERNAL PRESSURE METHOD (1M NaCl)

MoS₂/CV 6um thick

 $269.5 \,\mathrm{Lm}^{-2}\mathrm{h}^{-1}\mathrm{bar}^{-1}$

Pristine MoS₂

1.7 um thick 245 Lm⁻²h⁻¹bar⁻¹



(a) Comparison of the **sodium ion (Na⁺) percentage rejection** under diffusive pressure measured by ICP-OES after 180 min for each membrane (6 μ m thick) as well as the water **permeation rate** measured with external pressure (1 bar).

(b) Plot of the **rejection properties** (R%=1- C_{MoS2}/C_{PVDF} membranes (6 µm thick) as a function of hydrated cation radius measured after 3 h.



increased concentration of solute ions in the permeate

(c) Plot of the **permeation rates** for the $MoS_2/CV \& MoS_2/SY$ membranes for the cationic species studied with a 1000X concentration gradient. Note the semilogarithmic scale.

Rejection properties for MoS_2/CV and MoS_2/SY membranes (6 µm Thick) for **synthetic seawater** containing mixed ionic solutes. Inset shows a schematic.

violet (CV) powder, and MoS_2/CV . <u>Figure 5, 6</u> MoS₂ / CV MoS₂/CV 100 Na а CV MoS₂ / SY - MoS 95 Intensity × 10³ / a.u. Mg²⁺ Rejection (%) 90 E_{20}^1 85 XPS spectra of the Mo_{3d} region for a pristine 80 exfoliated MoS₂ membrane (b) and a CV-200 400 600 1000 1200 1400 1600 800 1800 functionalized membrane (C). 4.2 4.4 3.2 3.4 3.6 3.8 4.0 Raman shift / cm⁻¹ Hydrated Radii (Å) 30 b С Exfoliated MoS₂ Mo⁶⁺ 3d_{5/2} Mo4+ 3d5/2 MoS₂/CV (229.39) (232.97) 12 25 ntensity \times 10³/ cps Mo⁶⁺ 3d_{3/2} cps 10-(236.15) 20 Mo⁴+ 3d_{3/2} 10³/ Mo4+ 3d_{3/2} (232.43) 8 (233.59) × 15 Intensity Mo⁶⁺ 3d_{5/2} 6 Mo⁴⁺ 3d_{5/2} (232.84) S 2s 10. (229.76) (226.53)Successful functionalization is Mo⁶⁺ 3d_{3/2} S 2s (235.68)confirmed by shifts in binding 5. (226.90)2 energy due to charge transfer 230 238 234 232 228 226 238 232 230 228 226 224 236 224 236 234 in the sulfur peaks. Binding Energy / eV Binding Energy / eV

Raman spectra from the

pristine MoS₂ membrane, crystal

(a)

- A simple, low-cost route to produce membranes
- Removal of ions including NaCl, from water at room temperature.
- Works in seawater, drinking water or plant irrigation with a single pass through a membrane of few microns thick.
- The water fluxes are substantially higher than those of GO membranes of comparable thickness
- The approach is easily tunable via variation of the organic functionalization molecule

CONCLUSION

- Can we synthesize MoS₂ or other TMD membranes functionalized with specific clusters?
- MoS₂ membranes based filtration devices which are size tuned for purification of clusters in organic solvents

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

THANK YOU





Figure S1: Comparison of the PXRD (002) reflection of a pristine MoS_2 membrane after immersion for ~72 hours in solvents with varying polarity,¹ ranging from water (relative polarity: 100), 2-propanol (P: 61.7), chloroform (P: 25.9), diethyl ether (P: 11.7), toluene (P: 9.9), and cyclohexane (P: 0.6).

Figure S2: (a) Thickness calibration curve showing the linear relationship between MoS₂ mass and membrane thickness (determined from cross-section SEM). (b-c) Representative cross-section SEM images of a MoS₂ membrane. (d-e) SEM images showing the top-down morphology of the MoS₂ membranes.



Figure S8: Measured zeta potential of dispersions of pristine and dye functionalized MoS₂



Figure S7: %Na⁺ (NaCl) rejection and water permeation rates measured for pristine MoS₂, and for MoS₂ functionalized by 0.1 mM and 1.0 mM CV for 21 days; the dashed lines are %Na⁺ rejection. Cyan, pale and dark violet columns are water permeation rate of pristine MoS₂, MoS₂ with 0.1 mM, and 1.0 mM CV, respectively. For all MoS₂ membranes different thicknesses are compared. All permeation rates were measured using external pressure at 1.0 bar.



Figure S9: (**a**, **c**, **e**) Plots of measured potential between feed and permeate sides for the NaCl permeation test with MoS₂/CV, MoS₂/SY and MoS₂/NR membranes respectively. (**b**, **d**, **f**) Plots of relative resistivity of NaCl permeation as a function of time for up to 3 hours for MoS₂/CV, MoS₂/SY and MoS₂/NR membranes respectively. Relative resistivity of permeate solution is defined as 1 at beginning of experiment. All membranes were functionalized by 0.1 mM dyes for 21 days and comparative data for different membrane thicknesses and for similarly dye exposed bare PVDF filters is included for reference.





Figure S11: (a) Plot of the rejection properties of the 1.0 mM CV functionalized MoS₂ membranes at different thicknesses. (b) Plot of the permeation rate of each membranes functionalized by 1.0 mM CV for the different cationic species from KCl, NaCl, CaCl₂, and MgCl₂ studied with a 1000x concentration gradient. All increasing ion concentrations in the permeate sides were measured by ICP-OES after 3 hours.

Figure S10: Plot of Na⁺ rejection under osmotic pressure measure by ICP-OES after 3 hours and water permeation rates measured with external pressure (1 bar) for 3 μ m and 6 μ m thick membranes functionalized with different dyes; the dashed lines are Na⁺ rejection (%) and bars are water permeation rate,. All membranes were functionalized by 0.1 mM dye for 21 days.